

Collective operations

www.ntnu.edu

Today's topic

- We've looked at how the rank of a process can be used to make it act differently from every other, by branching into statements that only apply to 1 rank
- We've also looked at how calculating different arguments based on rank can make the same statement do different things by different ranks
- Today, we'll look at MPI calls that are specifically made to be used in the second manner



We have already seen collective code

• Instead of writing something like

```
if ( rank == 0 )
    for ( int_t i=0; i<N/2; i++ )
        c[i] = a[i] * b[i];
else if ( rank == 1 )
    for ( int_t i=N/2; i<N; i++ )
        c[i] = a[i] * b[i];</pre>
```

```
we can write
bounds[2] = { rank * N/2, (rank+1)*N/2 };
for ( int_t i=bounds[0]; i<bounds[1]; i++ )
        c[i] = a[i] * b[i];</pre>
```

and make both ranks use the same code for different data.



Collective operations

- MPI's collective operations are function calls that expect this style of program
- All ranks in a communicator must participate in its collective operations
 - The idea is to place it somewhere in the control flow where all the ranks will come through, and make the exact same call
 - You can put it inside conditional code as well, but there has to be a copy along every code path
- If fewer than all ranks make the call, it will hang until it times out and crashes



The simplest collective:

MPI_Barrier (MPI_COMM_WORLD);

- This does not actually do anything
 - It just requires all ranks in WORLD to call it
 - Nobody returns from their call before everyone has made it
- It's a synchronization feature of sorts
 - The ranks won't actually all return from Barrier at exactly the same moment
 - It'll be close, though
 - If one or more ranks were lagging behind, this will definitely bring them up to speed (at the expense of waiting for them)



MPI_Barrier is <u>not</u> a memory fence

- A memory fence is an operation that forces all committed work to be completed before continuing
- MPI_Barrier does no such thing
 - It just makes everyone exchange some empty messages to check on each other's progress
 - If you have background messages in transit, they may still be in transit after the barrier
 - If you have written data that is waiting in a buffer, barrier will not flush it
 - If you have pending requests for work, barrier will not clean them up, you still have to wait for their completion to finalize them



What's it for, then?

- It can help a lot with instrumenting your program's performance
 - More on that in a minute
- It can help a little with debugging
 - You can use it to guarantee that everyone has reached a particular point in the program (as long as you remember what that means)
- I have not seen a program that depended on a barrier in order to get the right answer
 - If you make one, you're probably inventing something strange



Broadcast

• Here's a more interesting collective:

```
int MPI_Bcast (
```

void *buffer,

int count,

MPI_Datatype datatype,

int root,

MPI_Comm communicator

);

- You'll recognize the first three arguments, they're just like for Send and Recv
- The last one isn't surprising either



MPI_Bcast is a *rooted* collective

- The *root* argument designates a rank that acts as the 'master' rank for the operation
- Broadcast, as the name implies, takes data from one rank and gives it to everyone
 - On the root rank, the memory buffer will be read and transmitted
 - On all the other ranks, data will be received and written into the memory buffer

(by contrast, MPI_Barrier has no root rank, everybody's equal)



Let's do a global sum

- We can calculate something simple without the complexities of neighbor points, border exchanges, boundary conditions, *etc.*
- The arctangent of 1 is pi divided by 4:





The derivative of $\arctan(x)$ is $1/(1+x^2)$

- Here we have it, from x=0 to x=1
- The area between this curve and the x-axis is $\pi/4$





www.ntnu.edu

We have an integration engine

- We can estimate the area under the curve with a bunch of rectangles
 - They can have width h
 - Their height will be $1/(1+x^2)$ at the end of the interval
 - That gives us the area





www.ntnu.edu

Tales from the code archive

- estimate_pi.c does what we did with the file saving issue in last lesson's parallel advection eq. solver:
 - Rank 0 includes its own partial result first
 - Rank 0 then waits for messages from all the rest, in order
 - All other ranks send their partial results to 0
- It works, but
 - It's long-winded and error prone to write
 - It forces a sequence upon the reception of messages
 - Adding up a global sum is a common thing to do, so we can use a collective operation instead



Rooted reductions

```
int MPI_Reduce (
```

const void *sendbuf,

void *recvbuf,

int count,

MPI_Datatype datatype,

MPI_Op op,

int root,

```
MPI_Comm comm
```

```
);
```

 The send-buffer, count, datatype, and communicator are like the MPI_Send arguments, and point out the data that each rank will contribute to the total



Rooted reductions

```
int MPI_Reduce (
```

const void *sendbuf,

void *recvbuf,

int count,

```
MPI_Datatype datatype,
```

MPI_Op op,

int root,

```
MPI_Comm comm
```

);

- The recv-buffer only has to exist at the root rank, it is where the total of all contributions will be placed
- It won't be used on the other ranks, you can make it NULL there if you wish



Rooted reductions

int MPI_Reduce (

const void *sendbuf,

void *recvbuf,

int count,

MPI_Datatype datatype,

```
MPI_Op op,
```

int root,

```
MPI_Comm comm
```

```
);
```

- The MPI_Op is the name of an operation that can be applied to combine the contributions from arbitrary pairs of ranks
- There's a list of them, including MPI_SUM, MPI_PROD, MPI_MAX, MPI_BAND ('bitwise and'), and so on...
- The main thing is that they have to be commutative



The Pi example with reduction

- estimate_pi_reduction.c replaces our point-to-point construct with a collective op. that takes a single line of code
- There is also an unrooted MPI_Allreduce
- It's the same as Reduce, except that
 - There's no root argument
 - recv-buffer has to be allocated on all participants, because everyone gets a copy of the result
- estimate_pi_allreduce.c uses that instead



There are quite a few collectives

Scatter ← partition data into equal-size chunks

- Scatterv ← or chunks of individual, different sizes
- Gather ← collect equal-size chunks into a whole
 - Gatherv \leftarrow or chunks of individual, different sizes
- Allgather \leftarrow Gather by everyone
 - - Alltoallv ← also available with different-sized chunks
- Some include computations along the way, others are just data movement



٠

There's another 6-function MPI

- Everything MPI can do can also be implemented using selected collectives
 - MPI_Init
 - MPI_Finalize
 - MPI_Comm_rank
 - MPI_Comm_size
 - MPI_Bcast
 - MPI_Reduce
- The example we didn't implement last time where all ranks have large, same-size allocations does the job
 - Point-to-point messages can be done by designating an area per process, and reducing the entire global array every so often



Collectives hide different complexities

- Some are terribly expensive
- Others are not so expensive
- We'll look at estimating their cost next time
 - It's not an accurate science, but ballpark estimates are already useful

