An Empirical Study of the Adoption of an Indoor Location-Based Service: Finding Reading Rooms

Shang Gao, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden
John Krogstie, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway
Trond Thingstad, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway
Hoang Tran, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

ABSTRACT

This research examined the adoption of indoor location-based services in Norway. By expanding the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), a research model, known as the mobile services acceptance model, was used. Based on the research model, seven research hypotheses were presented. The proposed research model and hypotheses were empirically tested using data collected from a survey of users of an indoor location-based service, finding reading rooms, at a Norwegian university. Support was found for five of the seven research hypotheses. Among the factors, the perceived usefulness has the strongest influence on the intention to use. The finding also indicated that trust did not have a direct positive effect on intention to use.

KEYWORDS

Context, Finding Reading Rooms, Indoor Location-Based Services, Personal Initiatives and Characteristics, Technology Adoption, Trust

1. INTRODUCTION

In the past few years, the rapid development of mobile technology resulted in the domination of mobile devices as the main channel through which we conduct most of our daily communication, business and leisure activities. Mobile services can benefit users by providing information, entertainment, travel information, healthcare, lifestyle information, photography, and social networks (Xu et al., 2011) (Gao et al., 2014a).

Despite the rapid global diffusion of mobile devices, some mobile services have experienced much slower uptake from consumers. Mobile services have been the fastest diffusing technology in history, but acceptance of innovative mobile services has varied between different services (Gao et al., 2014b). Location-based service is a kind of mobile services. Location-based services can be defined as services that integrate a mobile device's location with other information so as to provide

DOI: 10.4018/IJTHI.2017040105

REFERENCES

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211. doi:10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T

Andresen, S. H., Krogstie, J., & Jelle, T. Lab and Research Activities at Wireless Trondheim. *Proceedings of the4th International Symposium on Wireless Communication Systems*, Trondheim, Norway. IEEE.

Asif, M., & Krogstie, J. (2011). Mobile Student Information System. *Campus-Wide Information Systems*, 28(1), 5–15. doi:10.1108/10650741111097269

Benbasat, I., & Barki, H. (2007). Quo Vadis TAM. Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 8, 211–218.

Bentler, P. M. (1992). On the fit of models to covariances and methodology to the Bulletin. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112(3), 400–404. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.112.3.400 PMID:1438635

Biczok, G., Diez Martinez, S., Jelle, T., & Krogstie, J. (2014, March 24-28). Navigating MazeMap: Indoor human mobility, spatio-logical ties and future potential. *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops* (PERCOM Workshops) (pp. 266-271).

Dai, H., & Palvi, P. C. (2009). Mobile commerce adoption in China and the United States: A cross-cultural study. SIGMIS Database, 40(4), 43–61. doi:10.1145/1644953.1644958

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use and user acceptance of information technology. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340. doi:10.2307/249008

Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models. *Management Science*, 35(8), 982–1003. doi:10.1287/mnsc.35.8.982

Delone, W., & Mclean, E. (1992). Information Systems Success: The Quest for the Dependent Variable. *Information Systems Research*, 3.

Dey, A. K. (2001). Understanding and Using Context. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 5(1), 4–7. doi:10.1007/s007790170019

Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research. Addison-Wesley.

Gao, S., & Krogstie, J. The Importance of Context Towards Mobile Services Adoption. *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Mobile Ubiquitous Computing, Systems, Services and Technologies (Ubicomm '10)*, Florence, Italy. IARIA Press.

Gao, S., Krogstie, J., Chen, Z., & Zhou, W. (2014a). Lifestyles and Mobile Services Adoption in China. *International Journal of E-Business Research*, 10(3), 36–53. doi:10.4018/ijebr.2014070103

Gao, S., Krogstie, J., & Gransæther, P. A. (2008). Mobile Services Acceptance Model. *Proceedings of International Conference on Convergence and Hybrid Information Technology*, Daejeon, Korea. IEEE Computer Society.

Gao, S., Krogstie, J., & Siau, K. (2011). Developing an Instrument to Measure the Adoption of Mobile Services. *Mobile Information Systems*, 7(1), 45–67. doi:10.1155/2011/831018

Gao, S., Krogstie, J., & Siau, K. (2014b). Adoption of mobile information services: An empirical study. *Mobile Information Systems*, 10(2), 147–171. doi:10.1155/2014/146435

Gao, S., Roinend, P. C., & Krogstie, J. (2012). The adoption of mobile tourism services: an empirical study. *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Advances in Mobile Computing and Multimedia (MoMM '12)*, Bali, Indonesia (pp. 47-56). ACM.

Gefen, D. (2003). TAM or Just Plain Habit: A Look at Experienced. Online Shoppers. *Journal of End User Computing*, 15(3), 1–13. doi:10.4018/joeuc.2003070101

Gefen, D., Karahanna, E., & Straub, D. W. (2003). Trust and TAM in Online Shopping: An Integrated Model. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 27, 51–90.

Gu, Y., Lo, A., & Niemegeers, I. (2009). A survey of indoor positioning systems for wireless personal networks. *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*, 11(1), 13–32. doi:10.1109/SURV.2009.090103

Horton, R. P., Buck, T., Waterson, P. E., & Clegg, C. W. (2001). Explaining intranet use with the technology acceptance model. *Journal of Information Technology*, 16(4), 237–249. doi:10.1080/02683960110102407

Iacobucci, D. (2010). Structural equations modeling: Fit Indices, sample size, and advanced topics. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 20(1), 90–98. doi:10.1016/j.jcps.2009.093

Jenkins, P. L., Phillips, T. J., Mulberg, E. J., & Hui, S. P. (1992). Activity patterns of Californians: Use of and proximity to indoor pollutant sources. *Atmospheric Environment. Part A, General Topics*, 26(12), 2141–2148. doi:10.1016/0960-1686(92)90402-7

Junglas, I. A., Johnson, N. A., & Spitzmüller, C. (2008). Personality traits and concern for privacy: An empirical study in the context of location-based services. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 17(4), 387–402. doi:10.1057/ejis.2008.29

Kaasinen, E. (2005). User acceptance of location-aware mobile guides based on seven field studies. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 24(1), 37–49. doi:10.1080/01449290512331319049

Krasner, G. E., & Pope, S. T. (1988). A cookbook for using the model-view controller user interface paradigm in Smalltalk-80. *J. Object Oriented Program.*, 1, 26–49.

Kuo, Y.-F., & Yen, S.-N. (2009). Towards an understanding of the behavioral intention to use 3G mobile value-added services. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 25(1), 103–110. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2008.07.007

Legris, P., Ingham, J., & Collerette, P. (2003). Why do people use information technology?: A critical review of the technology acceptance model. *Information & Management*, 40(3), 191–204. doi:10.1016/S0378-7206(01)00143-4

Lindqvist, J., Cranshaw, J., Wiese, J., Hong, J., & Zimmerman, J. (2011). I'm the mayor of my house: examining why people use foursquare-a social-driven location sharing application. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 2409-2418). ACM doi:10.1145/1978942.1979295

Lu, J., Yao, J. E., & Yu, C.-S. (2005). Personal innovativeness, social influences and adoption of wireless Internet services via mobile technology. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, *14*(3), 245–268. doi:10.1016/j. jsis.2005.07.003

Lu, J., Yu, C.-S., Liu, C. Y., & Yao, J. E. (2003). Technology acceptance model for wireless Internet. *Internet Research*, 13(3), 206–222. doi:10.1108/10662240310478222

Moon, J.-W., & Kim, Y.-G. (2001). Extending the TAM for a World-Wide-Web context. *Information & Management*, 38(4), 217–230. doi:10.1016/S0378-7206(00)00061-6

Moss, S., Prosser, H., Costello, H., Simpson, N., Patel, P., Rowe, S., & Hatton, C. et al. (1998). Reliability and validity of the PAS-ADD Checklist for detecting psychiatric disorders in adults with intellectual disability. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 42(2), 173–183. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2788.1998.00116.x PMID:9617701

Page, X., & Kobsa, A. (2009). The circles of latitude: Adoption and usage of location tracking in online social networking. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Science and Engineering CSE '09* (pp. 1027-1030). IEEE.

Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Consumer Acceptance of Electronic Commerce: Integrating Trust and Risk with the Technology Acceptance Model. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 7, 101–134.

Pedhazur, E. J. (1997). *Multiple-Regression in Behavioral Research: Explanation and Prediction*. Wadsworth Pub Co.

Robinson, J. P., Shaver, P. R., & Wrightsman, L. S. (1991). *Criteria for scale selections and evaluation*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-590241-0.50005-8

Rogers, E. M. (1995). The diffusion of innovations. New York: Free Press.

Schiller, J., & Voisard, A. (2004). Location-based services. Elsevier.

Thompson, R. L., Higgins, C. A., & Howell, J. M. (1991). Personal computing: Toward a conceptual model of utilization. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 15(1), 125–143. doi:10.2307/249443

International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction

Volume 13 • Issue 2 • April-June 2017

Van Der Heijden, H. (2004). User Acceptance of Hedonic Information Systems. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 28, 695–704.

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 27, 425–478.

Vrček, N., Bubaš, G. & Bosilj, N. 2009. User acceptance of location-based services. *International Journal of Social Sciences*.

Wold, H. (1985). Systems analysis by partial least squares. Martinus Nijhoff. doi:10.1007/978-94-009-5079-5_11

Wu, J.-H., & Wang, S.-C. (2005). What drives mobile commerce? An empirical evaluation of the revised technology acceptance model. *Information & Management*, 42(5), 719–729. doi:10.1016/j.im.2004.07.001

Xu, H., & Gupta, S. (2009). The effects of privacy concerns and personal innovativeness on potential and experienced customers adoption of location-based services. *Electronic Markets*, 19(2-3), 137–149. doi:10.1007/s12525-009-0012-4

Xu, H., Teo, H.-H., Tan, B. C., & Agarwal, R. (2009). The role of push-pull technology in privacy calculus: The case of location-based services. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 26(3), 135–174. doi:10.2753/MIS0742-1222260305

Xu, Q., Erman, J., Gerber, A., Mao, Z., Pang, J., & Venkataraman, S. 2011. Identifying diverse usage behaviors of smartphone apps. *Proceedings of the 2011 ACM SIGCOMM conference on Internet measurement conference*, Berlin, Germany. ACM. doi:10.1145/2068816.2068847

Xu, Z., & Yuan, Y. 2007. What is the Influence of Context and Incentive on Mobile Commerce Adoption? A Case study of a GPS-based Taxi Dispatching System. *Proceedings of the International Conference on the Management of Mobile Business*. IEEE Computer Society. doi:10.1109/ICMB.2007.72

Yang, K. C. C. (2005). Exploring factors affecting the adoption of mobile commerce in Singapore. *Telematics and Informatics*, 22(3), 257–277. doi:10.1016/j.tele.2004.11.003

Zhou, T. (2012). Examining location-based services usage from the perspectives of unified theory of acceptance and use of technology and privacy risk. *Journal of Electronic Commerce Research*, 13, 135–144.

APPENDIX: MEASUREMENT ITEMS

The items are based on the validated instrument measure in (Gao et al., 2011).

Perceived Usefulness (PU)

By using this service:

- PU 1. I can quickly and easily find an available reading room
- PU2. I quickly find a nearby reading room
- PU3. It is more likely that I will find a reading room I did not know of before
- PU4. It is more likely that I use reading rooms in the university campus
- PU5. It will be easier to schedule which reading room I will go to before I go to campus

Context (CT)

- CT1. I would use the service while I am on campus
- CT2. I would use the service if it was available on mobile devices

Personal Initiatives and Characteristics (PIC)

- PIC1. I have fun using this service.
- PIC2. I prefer to be the first one using the service.
- PIC3. Using this service will give me an advantage over those who do not.
- PIC4. I find that using this service is a good idea.

Trust (TU)

I could use the service:

- TU1. If I have a clear conception of the functionality of the service
- TU2. If it protects the privacy of its users
- TU3. IF I feel confident that I can keep the service under control
- TU4. IF I feel data from the service is reliable

Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU)

- PEOU1. Learning to operate the service would easy for me.
- PEOU2. I would easily find the information I am looking for using the service.
- PEOU3. I would find the service to be flexible to interact with.
- PEOU4. I would find the service to easy to use (user-friendly).

Intention to Use (IU)

- IU1. Assuming I have access to the service, I intend to use it.
- IU2. Given that I have access to the service, I predict that I would use it.

International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction

Volume 13 • Issue 2 • April-June 2017

Shang Gao is an Assistant Professor in Information Systems at the School of Business, Örebro University, Sweden. He obtained his PhD (2011) in information systems from Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), and his MSc (2006) in Engineering and Management of Information Systems from the Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Sweden. His research interests include mobile information systems, technology diffusion, business process modeling, and information systems modeling and IT project management. He has published more than 50 refereed papers in journals, books and archival proceedings since 2006.

John Krogstie holds a PhD (1995) and a MSc (1991) in information systems from the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), where he is currently a full professor in information systems, leading the lighthouse on Open, Autonomous Digital Ecosystems (OADE) and the Information Systems group. John Krogstie is the Norwegian representative for and Vice-chair of IFIP TC8. He was earlier chair of IFIP WG 8.1 on information system design and evaluation (2010-2015). His research interests are information systems modelling, quality of models and modelling languages, eGovernment and mobile information systems. He has published around 200 refereed papers in journals, books and archival proceedings since 1991.